

Theology of Family Resilience: Integrating Spiritual and Psychological Dimensions of Surah Luqman (12–19) for Modern Parenting

Sheila Rosalia,  Mahyuddin Barni.²

^{1,2} Universitas Islam Negeri Antasari Banjarmasin



Article Info

Received: 12 Oct 2025

Revised: 18 Des 2025

Accepted: 25 Des 2025


Keywords:

family education, Surah Luqman, moral values, Islamic education,

Korespondensi

Sheila Rosalia

Universitas Islam Negeri
Antasari Banjarmasin

 sheilalialaaa0308@gmail.com



ABSTRAK: Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis nilai-nilai pendidikan keluarga dalam QS. Luqman ayat 12–19 serta relevansinya bagi kehidupan keluarga kontemporer. Fokus kajian diarahkan pada fondasi keimanan dan rasa syukur, tanggung jawab moral dan disiplin etis, praktik ibadah dan etika sosial, serta komunikasi etis dan sikap rendah hati dalam keluarga. Penelitian ini menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif dengan metode studi kepustakaan (library research), yang bersumber dari Al-Qur'an, tafsir, serta artikel jurnal ilmiah lima tahun terakhir yang relevan dengan pendidikan keluarga dan pendidikan Islam. Data dianalisis melalui teknik analisis tematik untuk mengidentifikasi pola nilai pendidikan keluarga yang terkandung dalam QS. Luqman ayat 12–19 dan mengaitkannya dengan konteks keluarga modern. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa QS. Luqman ayat 12–19 mengandung kerangka pendidikan keluarga yang komprehensif, meliputi penanaman tauhid dan syukur sebagai fondasi spiritual, pembentukan tanggung jawab moral dan etika personal, pembiasaan ibadah serta amar ma'ruf nahi munkar, dan penguatan komunikasi etis serta sikap rendah hati. Nilai-nilai tersebut terbukti relevan dalam menjawab tantangan krisis moral, lemahnya komunikasi keluarga, dan pergeseran nilai dalam kehidupan keluarga kontemporer. Artikel ini memperluas kajian tafsir tematik QS. Luqman ayat 12–19 dengan mengintegrasikan perspektif pendidikan keluarga kontemporer dan pendekatan psikologis, khususnya dalam analisis nilai syukur dan komunikasi etis sebagai fondasi pembentukan karakter keluarga.

ABSTRACT: This study aims to analyze the values of family education contained in Surah Luqman verses 12–19 and examine their relevance to contemporary family life. The analysis focuses on the foundations of faith and gratitude, moral responsibility and ethical discipline, worship practices and social ethics, as well as ethical communication and humility within the family context. This research employs a qualitative approach using library research, drawing on Qur'anic texts, classical and contemporary tafsir, and relevant peer-reviewed journal articles published within the last five years. Data were analyzed through thematic analysis to identify core educational values embedded in the verses and to contextualize them within modern family challenges. The findings reveal that Surah Luqman verses 12–19 present a comprehensive framework for family education, encompassing spiritual foundations, moral accountability, ethical discipline, social responsibility, and respectful communication. These values remain highly relevant in addressing contemporary issues such as moral decline, weakened family communication, and value disorientation. This article extends thematic tafsir studies of Surah Luqman (12–19) by integrating contemporary family education perspectives and psychological approaches in analyzing the values of gratitude and ethical communication within family life.

Copyright (c) 2025 Sheila Rosalia, Mahyuddin Barni;

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).



INTRODUCTION

The family is universally acknowledged as the primary educational environment for shaping children's character, moral orientation, and religious awareness. In Islamic educational thought, the family serves as the first institution responsible for transmitting faith, moral values, and social norms to children [1], [2]. Long before children are introduced to formal educational institutions, the family plays a decisive role in building ethical foundations, emotional stability, and religious identity that influence their lifelong development [3].

However, contemporary families face increasingly complex challenges due to rapid social transformation, technological advancement, and digital exposure. Changes in lifestyle [3], communication patterns, and parenting practices have significantly altered the way parents interact with their children [4]. These conditions often weaken the effectiveness of family based education, particularly in transmitting moral and religious values. As a result, many parents experience difficulties in maintaining consistency, authority, and emotional closeness in the educational process within the family [5], [6], [7].

In recent years, scholars have highlighted that the weakening of family educational functions contributes to moral uncertainty and value disorientation among children and adolescents. Excessive exposure to digital media, social networking platforms, and global cultural influences often competes with parental guidance and religious instruction at home [8], [9], [10]. Without strong family education, children are more vulnerable to adopting values that conflict with religious and moral principles [3], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15]. This situation underscores the urgency of reinforcing family education grounded in clear ethical and spiritual foundations. Within the framework of Islamic education, the family is viewed not merely as a social unit but as a moral and educational institution. Strengthening family education is therefore considered a strategic effort to address contemporary moral challenges [1], [16]. Recent research emphasizes that parents are required not only to provide material support but also to actively guide their children's spiritual, moral, and emotional development through intentional educational practices at home. Such practices include moral exemplification, dialogical communication, and value-based guidance that shape children's character holistically [2], [17].

Studies on Islamic parenting demonstrate that values such as faith (*iman*), moral conduct (*akhlak*), self-discipline, and social responsibility are most effectively instilled through daily interaction and consistent role modeling within the family environment. Parents who consciously integrate religious values into everyday life tend to foster stronger moral awareness and ethical sensitivity in their children [14], [18]. Nevertheless, many contemporary Muslim families struggle to implement these ideals due to time constraints, work demands, and the pressures of modern life.

The Qur'an provides comprehensive guidance for family education that remains relevant across time and social contexts. As the primary source of Islamic teachings, the Qur'an offers not only theological principles but also practical guidance for moral and educational development. One of the most prominent Qur'anic passages addressing family education is Surah Luqman (12–19), which presents a dialogical model of education between a father figure and his child. This passage emphasizes gratitude, monotheism (*tawhid*), moral discipline, humility, and ethical communication as core values of family education.

What makes Surah Luqman (12–19) particularly significant is the educational method it portrays. Luqman's advice is delivered through wisdom (*hikmah*), gentle admonition, and rational explanation rather than authoritarian instruction. This approach reflects an educational philosophy that prioritizes understanding, internalization of values, and emotional connection between parent and child. Such an approach resonates strongly with contemporary educational theories that emphasize learner-centered education, moral reasoning, and dialogical learning.

Despite the richness of Qur'anic guidance on family education, existing studies often focus on normative or theological interpretations without sufficiently contextualizing these values within contemporary family realities. Many studies examine Surah Luqman from a doctrinal or exegetical perspective, emphasizing its moral messages without exploring how these messages can be translated into practical parenting strategies in modern contexts. Consequently, Qur'anic guidance is frequently perceived as

idealistic and detached from the everyday challenges faced by families today [19], [20].

Furthermore, research on Islamic education tends to prioritize formal educational institutions such as schools, madrasas, and universities, while family-based education receives comparatively limited scholarly attention. This imbalance creates a gap between Qur'anic educational ideals and their implementation in everyday family life [1], [2], [16]. As a result, the family's role as a central site of value transmission is often overlooked in contemporary educational discourse, even though it remains the most influential environment for children's moral development.

Contemporary families also experience structural and cultural changes that affect educational practices. The increasing participation of parents in the workforce, changes in family composition, and exposure to pluralistic values challenge traditional models of parenting and religious education. In such conditions, parents often require contextual guidance that enables them to adapt Islamic educational values to modern realities without losing their normative foundations. This highlights the importance of reinterpreting Qur'anic family education values in ways that are both faithful to the text and responsive to contemporary contexts.

Surah Luqman (12–19) offers a holistic educational framework that integrates spiritual development, moral formation, and social ethics within the family setting. The values embedded in these verses address not only personal piety but also interpersonal ethics, such as respectful communication, humility, and social responsibility. These dimensions align closely with contemporary character education principles that emphasize moral reasoning, self-control, and ethical interaction. This alignment demonstrates that Qur'anic teachings possess a dynamic potential to respond to modern educational challenges when interpreted contextually.

The novelty of this study lies in its effort to contextualize the family education values found in Surah Luqman (12–19) by linking classical Qur'anic guidance with contemporary family challenges. Unlike previous studies that remain largely descriptive or normative, this research emphasizes the relevance and applicability of Qur'anic values for modern parenting practices. By integrating Qur'anic interpretation with recent scholarly discussions on family education, this study seeks to bridge the gap between text and context.

Moreover, this study positions the family as the core arena of educational practice, complementing existing research that predominantly focuses on formal institutions. This perspective contributes to the development of an integrative framework of Islamic family education that recognizes parents as primary educators and moral role models. In doing so, the study offers both theoretical and practical contributions to the discourse on Islamic education.

Therefore, this study aims to analyze the core family education values embedded in Surah Luqman (12–19) and examine their relevance for contemporary families. Through qualitative analysis of Qur'anic texts supported by recent scholarly literature, this research seeks to provide insights that can strengthen family-based education in modern society. Ultimately, the study aspires to reaffirm the Qur'an's role as a timeless source of educational guidance that remains relevant for nurturing morally grounded and socially responsible families in the contemporary world.

Surah Luqman (12–19) is selected in this study because it presents a dialogical model of family education through the interaction between a father and his child. Unlike normative Qur'anic verses that emphasize commands and prohibitions, this passage demonstrates an educational approach based on wisdom (*hikmah*), advice, and ethical communication. Such a dialogical pattern offers a relevant framework for understanding value transmission within contemporary family contexts.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative library research design. The primary data consist of Qur'anic verses from Surah Luqman (12–19), analyzed using both classical and contemporary tafsir sources, including works by *Ibn Kathir*, *Al-Tabari*, and contemporary exegetical interpretations. Secondary data were obtained from peer-reviewed journal articles published within the last five years focusing on Islamic education, family studies, and value formation.

The study employs a three-stage coding process adapted from grounded theory (Corbin & Strauss, 2015), modified to suit textual-hermeneutical inquiry:

Open Coding: Each verse (12–19) was subjected to line-by-line semantic analysis to extract discrete educational values (e.g., *tawhīd*, gratitude, humility, ethical speech, parental wisdom). Classical and contemporary tafsir were consulted to identify interpretive consensus (*ijmā'*) and divergence, particularly regarding pedagogical implications.

Axial Coding: Extracted values were grouped into thematic clusters based on conceptual affinity and functional role in family dynamics [21]. For instance, “gratitude” (v. 12) and “monotheism” (v. 13) were linked under “spiritual anchoring,” while “moderation in speech” (v. 18) and “commanding good” (v. 17) formed “ethical communication.” This stage integrated insights from developmental psychology (e.g., Baumrind’s parenting styles [22], [23], [24], [25]; Walsh’s family resilience theory [26], [27], [28]) to map Qur’anic concepts onto empirically observed dimensions of effective parenting.

Selective Coding: Core themes were synthesized into an integrative model—the “Dialogical-Spiritual Framework of Family Resilience”—which positions Luqman’s pedagogy as a dynamic interplay between wisdom (*ḥikmah*), relational mutuality, and value internalization. This model serves as the analytical lens through which the relevance of Surah Luqman for modern parenting is evaluated. Notably, this study departs from traditional *asbāb al-nuzūl*-centric exegesis, not to disregard historical context, but to prioritize textual universality (*‘umūm al-khiṭāb*) and ethical applicability across diverse socio-cultural settings—a methodological stance consistent with Rahman’s (1982) double-movement theory and recent trends in applied Qur’anic studies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the results of the study and discusses the core family education values derived from Surah Luqman verses 12–19 based on thematic analysis. The findings indicate that the educational values conveyed in these verses form an integrated framework that encompasses spiritual foundations, moral responsibility, worship practices, social ethics, and ethical communication within the family. To ensure systematic and coherent discussion, the results are organized into several thematic sub-sections that reflect the main educational dimensions identified from the Qur’anic text and supported by relevant contemporary literature.

Reconstructing the Spiritual-Psychological Architecture of Family Resilience in Surah Luqman (12–13)

The pedagogical discourse embedded in Quran 31:12–13 represents a paradigmatic intervention in the epistemology of Islamic family education, resisting reduction to mere moral exhortation and instead functioning as a structurally integrated mechanism for fostering what can be conceptualized as spiritually grounded resilience. Far from serving as isolated ethical directives, these verses establish a dialectical relationship between *shukr* (gratitude) and *tawhīd* (monotheism), reconfiguring the family not just as a social unit but as a dynamic site of ontological formation. The sequence itself is hermeneutically significant: the divine bestowal of *ḥikmah* (wisdom) upon Luqman is immediately followed by the injunction to gratitude—“Be grateful to Allah”—before the prohibition against *shirk* (polytheism) is articulated. This ordering subverts conventional didactic hierarchies where theological orthodoxy precedes ethical disposition; instead, it positions affective readiness—cultivated through gratitude—as the necessary precondition for the internalization of transcendental truth.

This inversion carries profound implications for contemporary theories of moral development. While dominant psychological models, such as Kohlberg’s stages or even neo-Aristotelian virtue ethics, often treat belief and behavior as sequential or hierarchical [29], [30], the Luqmanic model presents them as co-constitutive. Gratitude here is not an emotional byproduct of belief but its epistemic gateway—a cognitive-affective stance that orients the subject toward receptivity rather than resistance. In this light, *shukr* functions as a form of epistemic humility, disrupting the ego’s claim to self-sufficiency and opening the moral imagination to divine instruction. Such a reading aligns with—but critically extends—recent work in positive psychology on gratitude as a prosocial trait; whereas secular frameworks locate gratitude within intrapsychic or interpersonal domains, the Qur’anic

articulation anchors it in a theocentric ontology, thereby transforming it into a practice of existential realignment [31].

The subsequent articulation of *tawhīd*—“O my son, do not associate anything with Allah, for indeed *shirk* is a great injustice”—further deepens this pedagogical architecture. Notably, the warning against polytheism is framed not as a juridical prohibition but as a paternal disclosure of existential consequence: *shirk* is designated *zulm ‘azīm* (a grave injustice), not primarily against God, but against the self. Classical exegetes such as al-Rāzī interpret this as the soul’s self-betrayal when it displaces ultimate loyalty onto contingent objects—be they material wealth, social validation, or algorithmic authority [32], [33], [34]. In the context of late-modern parenting, where children are incessantly solicited by competing value systems mediated through digital platforms, this verse acquires urgent relevance. It reframes monotheism not as doctrinal conformity but as ontological fidelity—a sustained commitment to a singular axis of meaning that inoculates against the fragmentation induced by pluralistic overload [35], [36].

Crucially, this fidelity is transmitted not through dogmatic assertion but through dialogical intimacy. The vocative “*yā bunayya*” (“O my son”) establishes an affective register that mitigates the potential authoritarianism of the theological command. This rhetorical strategy exemplifies what Fazlur Rahman termed the Qur’an’s “double movement”: the simultaneous grounding in transcendent principle and responsiveness to human relationality [37]. The father in this narrative does not legislate from a position of power but witnesses from a posture of care, thereby modeling *tawhīd* as lived integrity rather than imposed orthodoxy. Such an approach resonates with contemporary findings in developmental psychology indicating that adolescents internalize values most durably when they perceive parental guidance as emanating from authentic conviction rather than control.

Together, *shukr* and *tawhīd* constitute a dual-axis framework for family resilience: the former cultivates emotional plasticity and relational openness, while the latter provides normative stability and existential clarity. This synergy directly counters two pathologies endemic to modern parenting—permissiveness rooted in moral uncertainty and rigidity born of anxious control—by offering a third way: principled yet porous, firm yet tender. Empirical studies on religiously engaged families corroborate this dynamic, showing that households integrating spiritual practices with dialogical communication exhibit higher levels of adolescent well-being, identity coherence, and resistance to risk behaviors [38], [39]. However, our analysis goes beyond correlation to propose a mechanistic explanation: the Qur’anic model generates resilience precisely because it unites vertical accountability (*tawhīd*) with horizontal attunement (*shukr*), thereby satisfying both the human need for meaning and the need for belonging.

In sum, Quran 31:12–13 does not merely prescribe values; it constructs an epistemic and affective ecology wherein faith is neither privatized sentiment nor coercive ideology, but a shared orientation toward truth that fortifies the family against the centrifugal forces of late modernity. This passage thus demands to be read not as static scripture but as living pedagogy—one whose analytical depth and contextual adaptability render it indispensable to any serious theorization of resilient, ethically grounded family life in the twenty-first century.

Moral Responsibility and Ethical Discipline within the Family

The moral architecture of Surah Luqman (Q 31:14–16) dismantles the binary between filial obedience and ethical autonomy, constructing instead a dialectical model of moral responsibility wherein parental authority is simultaneously affirmed and bounded by transcendental accountability. Verse 14’s command—“Be grateful to Me and to your parents”—does not posit gratitude toward parents as a social courtesy but as a divinely mandated extension of *shukr* toward God, thereby sacralizing the parent-child relationship without absolutizing parental will. This theological framing resists both the secular reduction of parenting to behavioral management and the traditionalist conflation of obedience with submission; rather, it situates familial duty within a triadic relational field: child, parent, and Divine Witness [40], [41], [42]. In an era marked by the erosion of intergenerational trust and the commodification of care, this verse reclaims parental authority

not as power but as amanah—a sacred trust contingent upon ethical integrity.

This contingency becomes explicit in verse 15: “But if they strive to make you associate with Me that of which you have no knowledge, do not obey them.” Here, the Qur’an performs a radical epistemic intervention: obedience is conditioned upon the absence of shirk, thereby establishing divine unity as the non-negotiable horizon of all moral action. Critically, the prohibition targets not overt idolatry but the subtler forms of ideological coercion—precisely the kind proliferating in digital echo chambers where children are pressured to conform to parental political identities, consumerist values, or cultural orthodoxies disguised as religious obligation. The verse thus institutes what may be termed critical filiality: a posture of respect that refuses blind conformity, enabling the child to navigate moral ambiguity without severing relational bonds. Classical exegetes recognize this as a pedagogical safeguard against authoritarianism, noting that Luqman’s counsel preserves both birr (benevolence toward parents) and taqwā (God-consciousness) as co-equal imperatives. Ibn Kathīr further emphasizes the father’s role not as enforcer but as guide who models discernment—thus transforming discipline from external control into internalized vigilance [43], [44].

This internalization reaches its apex in verse 16: “O my son, indeed if it should be the weight of a mustard seed, hidden within a rock or in the heavens or on earth, Allah will bring it forth.” The invocation of divine omniscience here functions not as a threat of surveillance but as an invitation to moral self-possession. In contrast to Foucauldian models of discipline that produce docile subjects through panoptic anxiety, the Qur’anic paradigm cultivates ethical agency through ontological transparency: because nothing escapes divine awareness, the self becomes its own moral auditor. This principle directly counters the moral fragmentation induced by digital performativity, where identity is curated for external validation rather than anchored in inner consistency. Empirical studies on religious adolescents confirm that belief in divine monitoring correlates with higher levels of honesty and lower engagement in deceptive behaviors

Together, these verses construct a triadic framework of moral formation: gratitude as relational grounding, discerning obedience as ethical boundary-setting, and divine awareness as self-regulatory sovereignty. This structure directly addresses the twin pathologies of contemporary parenting—permissive abdication and authoritarian rigidity—by offering a third path: authoritative yet accountable, affectionate yet principled. The family thus emerges not as a site of hierarchical control but as a micro-polity governed by shared submission to a higher moral order, wherein both parent and child are equally answerable before God. In doing so, Luqman’s pedagogy transcends cultural particularity, articulating universal principles of moral development that remain acutely relevant in pluralistic, post-truth societies.

Verse	Core Ethical Principle	Function in Moral Formation	Contrast with Secular Models	Classical Exegetical Insight
14	Shukr li-l-wālidayn (Gratitude to parents)	Sacralizes filial duty as extension of divine gratitude; establishes affective loyalty without absolute submission	Secular models treat parental respect as social contract or emotional reciprocity	Al-Ṭabarī: Parental gratitude is inseparable from shukr to God; disobedience to parents is spiritual deficit
15	Ta’a mashrūṭa (Conditional obedience)	Introduces ethical veto: parental authority is valid only within bounds of tawhīd; fosters critical filiality	Liberal autonomy rejects authority; authoritarian models deny child’s moral agency	Ibn Kathīr: Obedience ceases when parents demand shirk; child must maintain kindness (shūba bi-l-ma’rūf) even in disagreement
16	Muraqabah ilāhiyya (Divine awareness)	Cultivates internal moral auditor; transforms ethics from external compliance to existential integrity	Behavioral psychology relies on reward/punishment; surveillance models induce anxiety	Al-Rāzī: Even hidden intentions are known to God; thus, true morality begins in the unseen

This table distills the layered pedagogy of Luqman’s counsel into three interlocking mechanisms that collectively redefine moral education within the family. Unlike secular developmental theories that oscillate between autonomy and socialization, the Qur’anic model integrates relational loyalty, critical discernment, and ontological accountability into a coherent ethical ecosystem. Verse 14 prevents moral atomism by rooting filial duty in transcendental gratitude, thereby avoiding the instrumentalization of care. Verse 15 introduces a necessary corrective to patriarchal interpretations of obedience, establishing tawhīd as the ultimate criterion for moral legitimacy—a move that empowers children to resist ideological coercion while preserving familial bonds through shūba bi-l-ma’rūf (kind companionship). This is not relativism but principled resistance grounded in divine unity. Verse 16 completes the triad by internalizing moral regulation: divine omniscience is not framed as punitive surveillance but as the condition for authentic selfhood, where integrity emerges not from fear of exposure but from alignment with ultimate truth. Classical exegetes consistently emphasize that these verses are addressed by a father to his son, underscoring that such moral sophistication is appropriate even for youth—a direct challenge to condescending assumptions about children’s ethical capacities. Together, the three verses form a resilient architecture wherein authority is relational, obedience is discerning, and accountability is internalized. This framework offers a robust alternative to both permissive parenting (which abandons normative guidance) and authoritarian control (which suppresses moral reasoning), positioning the Islamic family as a space where freedom and fidelity coexist under divine witness. Its relevance extends beyond Muslim contexts, offering a theologically grounded yet universally intelligible model for cultivating ethically resilient individuals in an age of moral fragmentation.

Worship, Social Ethics, and Educational Role Modeling

Qur’anic verse 31:17—“O my son, establish prayer, enjoin what is right, forbid what is wrong, and endure patiently whatever befalls you”—does not merely prescribe ritual and social duties; it articulates a triadic pedagogy wherein worship, ethical agency, and embodied endurance converge as the constitutive practices of resilient moral formation. Far from treating ṣalāh as an isolated devotional act, the verse positions it as the rhythmic anchor of familial life—a daily reorientation toward transcendence that structures time, disciplines desire, and cultivates what Bourdieu might term a religious habitus. Yet this habitus is neither privatized nor passive; it is immediately extended into the social sphere through amr bi-l-ma’rūf wa nahy ‘an al-munkar, thereby collapsing the false dichotomy between spiritual interiority and public ethics. In doing so, Luqman’s counsel dismantles the modern compartmentalization of religion into “private belief,” insisting instead that the family functions as the primary incubator of civic virtue precisely because it is first a site of divine remembrance.

Critically, the sequence of injunctions reveals a sophisticated developmental logic: ṣalāh precedes amr/nahy, suggesting that ethical intervention in the world must be grounded in sustained self-discipline before it can responsibly address others. This ordering directly challenges contemporary models of moral education that prioritize activism over introspection or social justice rhetoric over personal accountability. The Qur’anic model insists that one cannot command good while neglecting inner coherence; thus, worship becomes the non-negotiable precondition for ethical speech. Moreover, the command is framed not as a juridical obligation but as paternal guidance—“O my son”—thereby embedding normative instruction within affective intimacy. This rhetorical strategy ensures that moral formation emerges not from fear of sanction but from relational trust, a dynamic corroborated by developmental research showing that children internalize values most deeply when they perceive parental expectations as expressions of care rather than control [45].

The practice of *amr bi-l-ma’rūf*, when situated within this framework, transcends its frequent reduction to moral policing. Classical exegetes such as al-Qurṭubī emphasize that ma’rūf denotes not fixed legal categories but contextually discernible good—what is recognized as virtuous within a given community yet always subject to higher divine principles [46], [47]. Thus, the family becomes a laboratory for ethical reasoning: parents do not merely transmit static norms but model how to navigate moral ambiguity through

dialogue, example, and principled judgment. This is particularly vital in pluralistic societies where children encounter competing definitions of “good.” The Luqmanic model equips them not with rigid dogmas but with a hermeneutical compass—rooted in tawhīd and calibrated through ṣalāh—that enables discernment without dogmatism.

Equally significant is the inclusion of ṣabr (patience/endurance) as the culminating imperative. Positioned after both worship and social ethics, ṣabr functions as the sustaining virtue that prevents moral burnout in the face of societal resistance or familial conflict. In an age of instant gratification and reactive outrage, this injunction reorients ethical engagement toward long-term fidelity rather than short-term victory. The father who teaches his child to endure hardship “with patience” models resilience not as stoic suppression but as active perseverance grounded in divine trust—a disposition empirically linked to lower levels of adolescent anxiety and higher commitment to prosocial behavior [48], [49], [50].

Parental role modeling, therefore, operates not through performative piety but through consistent embodiment: the father who prays not only instructs his child to pray but demonstrates that prayer structures his own response to stress, injustice, and joy. This lived integrity—what Islamic tradition terms qudwah ḥasanah—is far more formative than verbal exhortation alone. Contemporary studies confirm that adolescents are more likely to adopt religious practices when they observe parents integrating faith into everyday decisions, not just ritual moments (Pearce & Hayward, 2017). Yet the Qur’anic insight goes further: it recognizes that children do not merely imitate behaviors but absorb the ontological posture behind them. When a parent forbids wrongdoing not out of anger but out of sorrow for moral harm, the child learns that ethics flows from compassion, not control.

In late-modern contexts where moral authority is fragmented and digital platforms amplify performative morality, this integrated model offers a robust alternative. It resists both the privatization of faith and the politicization of ethics by grounding moral agency in disciplined worship, dialogical discernment, and patient endurance. The family, thus reconceived, is not a retreat from the world but a training ground for ethically resilient citizenship—one where the child learns that to stand for truth is not to dominate but to embody it, even in silence, even in suffering.

Table Representative Analytical Table: Pedagogical Triad in Q 31:17

Component	Function in Moral Formation	Contrast with Secular Models	Classical Insight	Resilience Mechanism
Iqāmat al-Ṣalāh (Establishing prayer)	Structures temporal rhythm of family life; cultivates self-regulation and divine awareness	Secular mindfulness lacks transcendental accountability; ritual reduced to stress relief	Ibn Kathir: Prayer is the pillar of religion; its consistency reflects sincerity	Provides ritual anchoring during social chaos
Amr/Nahy (Enjoining good, forbidding wrong)	Develops ethical discernment through contextual judgment, not rule enforcement	Moral education often emphasizes empathy without normative boundaries	Al-Qurtūbī: Ma ruf is socially recognized good, but must align with divine will	Builds moral courage and resistance to peer pressure
Al-Ṣabr (Patience)	Sustains ethical engagement amid adversity; prevents moral fatigue	Resilience models focus on coping, not endurance as virtue	Al-Rāzī: Patience is half of faith; it transforms suffering into spiritual witness	Fosters long-term fidelity to values despite opposition

This triad constitutes a self-reinforcing system of moral resilience. Ṣalāh establishes the vertical axis of accountability, ensuring that ethical action is not self-referential. Amr/nahy activates the horizontal axis, translating inner discipline into social responsibility—but only when informed by the discernment cultivated through worship. Ṣabr then binds the two, enabling sustained engagement without resentment or exhaustion. Unlike secular character education—which often isolates virtues like “grit” or “empathy” from metaphysical grounding—the Qur’anic model embeds all three components within a theocentric ontology. Classical exegetes consistently note that these commands are delivered in the intimate vocative “yā bunayya,” signaling that such moral sophistication is appropriate even for youth. This challenges developmental assumptions that children lack capacity for complex

ethical reasoning. Moreover, the absence of punitive language (“if you don’t, you’ll be punished”) underscores that motivation arises from relational trust and existential alignment, not fear. In contemporary application, this framework resists both permissive relativism (“do what feels right”) and authoritarian moralism (“obey without question”). Instead, it cultivates what may be termed critical fidelity: unwavering commitment to divine principles expressed through compassionate, contextually wise action. For families navigating digital moral fragmentation, this triad offers not a set of rules but a living ecology of resilience—where worship shapes character, character informs action, and action is sustained by patience rooted in divine trust.

Ethical Communication and Humility in Contemporary Family Life

Qur’anic verses 31:18–19—“Do not turn your cheek in contempt toward people, nor walk through the earth exultantly; indeed, Allah does not love any self-deluded boastful person. Be moderate in your pace and lower your voice; indeed, the most disagreeable of sounds is the voice of donkeys”—constitute a radical reorientation of ethical subjectivity through the disciplined regulation of bodily comportment and vocal expression. Far from offering mere etiquette, these injunctions deconstruct the performative dimensions of social arrogance by targeting its somatic and acoustic manifestations: the turned cheek, the inflated gait, the raised voice. Within the family, such somatic discipline becomes the first pedagogy of relational equality: when a parent moderates their stride and softens their tone, they enact a non-verbal covenant of dignity that affirms the child’s ontological worth without requiring achievement or compliance.

The prohibition against taḥazzun al-khadd (turning the cheek in disdain) is particularly significant. Unlike abstract condemnations of pride, this verse identifies a specific micro-gesture—a fleeting facial movement—that signals hierarchical distancing. In familial contexts, such gestures, however subtle, communicate rejection more powerfully than words. The Qur’anic intervention thus operates at the level of what Goffman termed “interactional ritual”: it demands that moral equality be performed in the smallest units of daily encounter. Contemporary communication theory confirms that nonverbal cues account for over 65% of emotional meaning in face-to-face interaction [51], [52], [53]; the Qur’an, centuries earlier, recognized that ethical formation begins not with sermons but with the orientation of the face toward the other. When parents model this embodied attentiveness—meeting their child’s gaze without condescension—they cultivate what may be termed relational safety, a precondition for moral vulnerability and authentic dialogue.

Similarly, the command to “lower your voice” (ghaḍḍ min ṣawtik) reframes speech not as an instrument of persuasion or authority but as an ethical medium whose quality reflects inner disposition. The comparison of loud speech to “the voice of donkeys” is not merely rhetorical hyperbole but a semiotic critique: excessive volume signifies not strength but epistemic insecurity—a need to dominate auditory space because one lacks confidence in the truth of one’s message. In contrast, gentle speech (qawl layyin) emerges from ontological groundedness, where the speaker need not shout because they trust in the inherent resonance of truth. Within the family, this principle dismantles authoritarian communication models that equate firmness with volume. Empirical studies on adolescent development corroborate that children exposed to calm, consistent parental communication exhibit higher emotional regulation and moral reasoning [54], [55], [56]; the Qur’anic insight goes further by locating the source of this efficacy not in psychological technique but in spiritual integrity—voice modulation as an act of taqwā.

Critically, these directives are embedded within Luqman’s paternal address, yet their implications transcend gendered roles. While the narrative centers a father, the ethical principles articulated—bodily moderation, vocal restraint, affective presence—are universally accessible and implicitly invite maternal embodiment as well. Indeed, the very emphasis on non-dominant communication aligns with care ethics often associated with maternal relationality, suggesting that the Qur’anic model does not privilege paternal authority but rather redefines authority itself as service-oriented humility. This reading resists both patriarchal literalism and secular gender binaries, positioning tawāḍu’ as a shared parental vocation that fosters egalitarian intimacy without sacrificing moral guidance.

In digitalized family life, where communication increasingly occurs through screens that filter nonverbal cues and amplify textual aggression, these verses acquire urgent relevance. The absence of facial feedback in messaging enables contemptuous turns of phrase that would be unthinkable face-to-face; the anonymity of digital space encourages the “exultant walk” of online bravado. The Luqmanic framework thus offers a counter-formation: families that consciously practice embodied humility—making eye contact during conversations, speaking softly even in disagreement, avoiding performative outrage—create micro-environments of resilience against the coarsening of public discourse. Such practices do not isolate the family from society but equip it to engage the world with moral clarity uncorrupted by reactive defensiveness.

Ultimately, verses 18–19 complete the pedagogical arc of Luqman’s counsel by grounding all prior imperatives—faith, gratitude, worship, ethical agency—in the concrete phenomenology of everyday interaction. Moral resilience is not forged in grand declarations but in the quiet discipline of how one walks, looks, and speaks. The family that internalizes this becomes a sanctuary not of withdrawal but of witness—a space where the most ordinary acts of presence become acts of resistance against the arrogance of an age that confuses noise with truth and stature with worth.

Representative Analytical Table: Embodied Ethics in Q 31:18–19

Qur’anic Directive	Phenomenological Dimension	Ethical Function	Contrast with Modern Norms	Resilience Mechanism
La tuṣa’ir khaddaka (Do not turn your cheek)	Facial orientation as micro-ethics	Affirms ontological equality through nonverbal recognition	Social media encourages curated indifference; digital interaction erodes gaze ethics	Builds relational safety essential for moral vulnerability
La tamshi fi l-ardi maraḥan (Do not walk exultantly)	Bodily posture as moral semiotics	Disrupts performance of superiority; enacts humility as spatial ethics	Culture of self-promotion valorizes confident gait, “power poses”	Cultivates embodied modesty that counters status anxiety
Ghadid min ṣawtik (Lower your voice)	Vocal modulation as epistemic humility	Signals trust in truth’s resonance, not need for domination	Loudness equated with conviction in media and politics	Fosters calm authority that de-escalates conflict and models emotional regulation

This triad of embodied directives reveals that Islamic ethics is fundamentally a practice of presence. Each injunction targets a sensory channel—visual (face), kinesthetic (gait), auditory (voice)—through which moral character is continuously performed. Unlike virtue ethics that focus on internal dispositions, the Qur’anic model insists that character is constituted in interaction; humility is not what one feels but how one appears to the other. Classical commentators like al-Rāzī note that these behaviors are “signs of the heart” (dalā’il al-qulūb), confirming that outer conduct is not secondary but constitutive of inner state. In contemporary application, this framework directly addresses the fragmentation of digital communication, where moral intent is routinely distorted by the absence of embodied cues. Families that prioritize face-to-face dialogue, moderate physical expression, and gentle speech create what could be termed an “ethics of proximity”—a relational ecology where dignity is sustained through consistent micro-practices of respect. Moreover, because these behaviors require no specialized knowledge or resources, they are universally accessible, making them potent tools for resilience across socioeconomic contexts. Critically, the model avoids moral legalism: it does not prescribe fixed rules but cultivates a sensibility—an aesthetic of humility—that enables contextually wise responses. For children growing up in an era of performative identity, this offers a liberating alternative: worth is not earned through visibility but affirmed through quiet, consistent presence. Thus, Luqman’s final counsel completes the architecture of family resilience—not with grand visions, but with the disciplined poeetry of everyday gesture.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that Surah Luqman verses 12–19 offers a comprehensive and integrative framework for family education that remains highly relevant to contemporary family life.

The findings demonstrate that the Qur’anic values of faith and gratitude serve as foundational elements in shaping spiritual awareness and moral orientation within the family. Furthermore, moral responsibility, ethical discipline, worship practices, social ethics, ethical communication, and humility collectively function as interconnected dimensions that support holistic character development.

The study also highlights that family education grounded in Qur’anic values can effectively respond to modern challenges, including moral crises, weakened interpersonal communication, and shifting social norms. By actualizing these values in everyday family life, parents can strengthen their role as moral educators and role models for their children. This research contributes to the field of Islamic education by reinforcing the significance of Qur’anic-based family education as a sustainable approach to character formation. Future studies are encouraged to explore empirical applications of these values within diverse family contexts to further enrich the discourse on family education.

In practical terms, this study implies several important applications for contemporary family education. First, parents can institutionalize moral guidance by scheduling regular family discussions, such as a “Luqman Hour,” to reflect on ethical values and daily behavior. Second, Qur’anic values may be integrated into everyday parenting practices through consistent modeling of humility, gratitude, and ethical communication by both fathers and mothers. Third, this framework can serve as a reference for family-based educational programs in multicultural contexts, where universal moral values from Surah Luqman remain applicable across diverse social settings.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. I. S. Al-Hawary *et al.*, “The education of children in an Islamic family based on the Holy Qur’an,” *HTS Teol. Stud. / Theol. Stud.*, vol. 79, no. 2, 2023, doi: [10.4102/hts.v79i2.8273](https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v79i2.8273).
- [2] M. S. G. O. Alazemi, M. F. R. Abdullah, and A. H. Usman, “The Role of the Family in Child Education and National Development: The Example of Ibrāhīm’s Family in the Quran,” *Pakistan J. Life Soc. Sci.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 3420–3436, 2024, doi: [10.57239/PJLSS-2024-22.2.00251](https://doi.org/10.57239/PJLSS-2024-22.2.00251).
- [3] N. N. Choffifah *et al.*, “Parental strategies in instilling Islamic values in children: A case study,” *Res. J. Adv. Humanit.*, vol. 6, no. 2, 2025, doi: [10.58256/xrmwwp32](https://doi.org/10.58256/xrmwwp32).
- [4] K. Marshall, “Education for All: Where does religion come in?,” *Comp. Educ.*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 273–287, 2010, doi: [10.1080/03050068.2010.503739](https://doi.org/10.1080/03050068.2010.503739).
- [5] M. Rumayor, “The problem of the authority in family facing the challenge of social networks,” *Teor. la Educ.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 75–92, 2016, doi: [10.14201/TEOREDU2827592](https://doi.org/10.14201/TEOREDU2827592).
- [6] N. Y. Carruyo-Durán, S. E. Rocha-Márquez, D. E. Ganem-Durango, and S. Bobadilla-Beltrán, “Single parenthood in action: educational strategies and challenges in raising children,” *Aibi, Rev. Investig. Adm. e Ing.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 126–133, 2024, doi: [10.15649/2346030X.4053](https://doi.org/10.15649/2346030X.4053).
- [7] Q. Yi, X. Liu, and J. Du, “Why can’t family support facilitate the sustainable development of students’ home-based online learning: a mixed-methods study from western China households,” *Humanit. Soc. Sci. Commun.*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2026, doi: [10.1057/s41599-025-06299-1](https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-06299-1).
- [8] R. Setiawan *et al.*, “Analysis of the Effects of Social Media on Children Education Mechanisms,” *APTISI Trans. Technopreneursh.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 582–592, 2025, doi: [10.34306/att.v7i2.559](https://doi.org/10.34306/att.v7i2.559).
- [9] G. Marano *et al.*, “Connected but at Risk: Social Media Exposure and Psychiatric and Psychological Outcomes in Youth,” *Children*, vol. 12, no. 10, 2025, doi: [10.3390/children12101322](https://doi.org/10.3390/children12101322).
- [10] A. Dinis and P. Peres, “Social Media in the Development and Education of Children,” in *Atas da Conferência da Associação Portuguesa de Sistemas de Informação*, 2024, pp. 203–229. doi: [10.18803/capsi.v24.203-229](https://doi.org/10.18803/capsi.v24.203-229).
- [11] W. N. Tan and M. Yasin, “Parents’ roles and parenting styles on shaping children’s morality,” *Univers. J. Educ. Res.*, vol. 8, no. 3 3C, pp. 70–76, 2020, doi: [10.13189/ujer.2020.081608](https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.081608).
- [12] I. K. Sudarsana, N. N. Selasih, L. Asli, I. W. Sukabawa, and I. K. Yasa, “The role of parents in teaching religious learning

- habits since the early age," *J. Adv. Res. Dyn. Control Syst.*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 740–744, 2020, doi: 10.5373/JARDCS/V12I6/S20201088.
- [13] C. B. Robinson, "Equipment of parents," *Verbum Eccles.*, vol. 46, no. 2, 2025, doi:10.4102/ve.v46i2.3289.
- [14] Y. Izzatunnisa, "Parenting Practices and Religious Character Development in Early Childhood: A Study of Tanjung Morawa Village," *Ascarya J. Islam. Sci. Cult. Soc. Stud.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 112–122, 2024, doi: 10.53754/iscs.v4i1.674.
- [15] H. Yates and J. C. Avenant, "Parents' primary role in faith formation," *Verbum Eccles.*, vol. 46, no. 2, 2025, doi: 10.4102/ve.v46i2.3321.
- [16] T. S. A. B. T. Kasim, S. F. B. Yaakob, and N. F. B. M. Razif, "Family Influence on Female's Blood Education in the Context of Islamic Practice in Malaysia," *El-Usrah*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 623–647, 2024, doi: 10.22373/ujhk.v7i2.26252.
- [17] M.-J. Tu, S.-H. Hsieh, M.-S. Chiang, and C.-L. Cheng, "THE RELATIONSHIPS AMONG PARENTAL SHAMING, CHILDRENS SHAME AND SOCIAL BEHAVIORS OF THREE-YEAR-OLD TAIWANESE CHILDREN," *Contemp. Educ. Res. Q.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 91–133, 2023, doi: 10.6151/CERQ.202306_31(2).0003.
- [18] L. Ryan and E. Vacchelli, "'Mothering Through Islam': Narratives of Religious Identity in London," *Relig. Gen.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 90–107, 2013, doi: 10.1163/18785417-00301007.
- [19] M. J. Thalgi, "Principles in the moral education of children in the light of Qur'anic stories," *Darulf. Ilah.*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 205–230, 2019, doi: 10.26650/di.2019.30.1.0049.
- [20] M. A. M. Sabbagh and Z. M. Yusoff, "The Doctrinal and Devotional Contents in Surat Luqman Means and Methods," *Quranica*, vol. 15, no. 1 Special issue 10, pp. 319–358, 2023, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85218713277&partnerID=40&md5=49a6c2d8a71d27150fa3919a4865de3b>
- [21] C. Scott and M. Medaugh, "Axial Coding," in *The International Encyclopedia of Communication Research Methods*, 2017, pp. 1–2. [https://doi: 10.1002/9781118901731.iecrm0012](https://doi:10.1002/9781118901731.iecrm0012)
- [22] A. E. Kooraneh and L. Amirardari, "Predicting early maladaptive schemas using Baumrind's parenting styles," *Iran. J. Psychiatry Behav. Sci.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 26–30, 2015, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84937878124&partnerID=40&md5=f63937eb4d0998114a5c69335da7d007>
- [23] B. L. van Vleet and D. A. Bodman, "Authoritarian Parenting," in *The Wiley Blackwell Encyclopedia of Family Studies*, 2016, pp. 1–4. doi: 10.1002/9781119085621.wbefs484.
- [24] R. Estlein, "Parenting Styles," in *The Wiley Blackwell Encyclopedia of Family Studies*, 2016, pp. 1–3. doi: 10.1002/9781119085621.wbefs030.
- [25] M. Givertz, "Parenting Styles/Discipline," in *The International Encyclopedia of Interpersonal Communication*, 2015, pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1002/9781118540190.wbeic037.
- [26] M. Yang, S. Yang, R. D. Dela Rosa, and L.-H. Cui, "Development of Family Resilience Models," *Front. Nurs.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 3–8, 2023, doi: 10.2478/fon-2023-0001.
- [27] Y. Li, Y. Qiao, X. Luan, S. Li, and K. Wang, "Family resilience and psychological well-being among Chinese breast cancer survivors and their caregivers," *Eur. J. Cancer Care (Engl.)*, vol. 28, no. 2, 2019, doi: 10.1111/ecc.12984.
- [28] J. M. Duncan, M. E. Garrison, and T. S. Killian, "Measuring Family Resilience: Evaluating the Walsh Family Resilience Questionnaire," *Fam. J.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 80–85, 2021, doi: 10.1177/1066480720956641.
- [29] G. Nunner-Winkler, "Kohlberg, Lawrence (1927-87)," in *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences: Second Edition*, 2015, pp. 119–122. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.61069-3.
- [30] P. Crittenden, "Justice, care and other virtues: A critique of Kohlberg's theory of moral development," in *Virtue Ethics and Moral Education*, 2005, pp. 169–183. doi: 10.4324/9780203978368-23.
- [31] Z. Keskin, *Quranic Concepts in Islamic Psychology and Spirituality: Application in the Modern World*. 2025. doi: 10.4324/9781003494614.
- [32] P. Vallat, "Can man assess God's goodness?: A Controversy Between Abū Bakr al-Rāzī (d. 925) and Mutazili theologians," *MIDEO - Melanges l'Institut Dominicaine des Etudes Orient. du Caire*, vol. 31, pp. 213–251, 2015, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85054809861&partnerID=40&md5=7ab7ba6b79c0cca03aed9c26a5532a5a>
- [33] F. Griffel, "al-Rāzī, Fakhr al-Dīn," in *Encyclopedia of Medieval Philosophy: Philosophy between 500 and 1500*, 2020, pp. 1645–1651. doi: 10.1007/978-94-024-1665-7_164.
- [34] F. Griffel, "Al-Rāzī," in *The Encyclopedia of Philosophy of Religion*, 2021, pp. 1–4. doi: 10.1002/9781119009924.eopr0142.
- [35] S. C. Milford, "Between guidelines and guilt: Parenting through the chaos of screen-time discourse," *Media, Cult. Soc.*, 2026, doi: 10.1177/01634437251400650.
- [36] S. Livingstone and A. Blum-Ross, *Parenting for a Digital Future: How Hopes and Fears about Technology Shape Children's Lives*. 2020. doi: 10.1093/oso/9780190874698.001.0001.
- [37] F. Panjwani, "Fazlur Rahman and the search for authentic Islamic education: A critical appreciation," *Curric. Inq.*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 33–55, 2012, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-873X.2011.00574.x.
- [38] G. M. Brelsford and A. Mahoney, "Spiritual Disclosure Between Older Adolescents and Their Mothers," *J. Fam. Psychol.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 62–70, 2008, doi: 10.1037/0893-3200.22.1.62.
- [39] K. Malinakova et al., "Are adolescent religious attendance/spirituality associated with family characteristics?," *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, vol. 16, no. 16, 2019, doi: 10.3390/ijerph16162947.
- [40] P. S. Chia, "Religious education at home: parents as disciplinators to their youths," *Cogent Educ.*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1080/2331186X.2025.2555737.
- [41] K. Von Klitzing and S. Stadelmann, "The child in the triadic relation world," *Psyche (Stuttg)*, vol. 65, no. 9–10, pp. 953–972, 2011, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-80052583086&partnerID=40&md5=e5fb2f4e600b50197ef6ea61523edc9b>
- [42] J. M. Herzog, "Triadic reality and the capacity to love," *Psychoanal. Q.*, vol. 74, no. 4, pp. 1029–1052, 2005, doi: 10.1002/j.2167-4086.2005.tb00235.x.
- [43] A. A. Bisati, "Parenting is counseling: The relationship of the parent-child in Islam," *Hamdard Islam.*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 65–76, 2021, [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85114710717&partnerID=40&md5=fedfc4edae4152e7a0b2c1be34b3845a>
- [44] A. S. Rahmatullah and S. Marpuah, "Positive Parenting from the Perspective of Luqman Al-Hakim," *J. Pendidik. Agama Islam*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 335–350, 2022, doi: 10.14421/jpai.2022.192-12.
- [45] E. Turiel, "Domain specificity in social interactions, social thought, and social development," *Child Dev.*, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 720–726, 2010, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01429.x.
- [46] Z. Mubarrak, I. A. Bakar, and M. Hamdani, "The Urgency of the Islamic Law and Contemporary Societal Challenges: The Flexibility of al-Maslahah in Determining the Hierarchy of Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah," *El-Usrah*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 344–365, 2025, doi: 10.22373/pxydd884.
- [47] A. Bayram, "Analysis of the casuistic structure of the legal exegesis of the Qur'an from its form and content: The example of tafsir al-qurtubi," *Cumhur. Dent. J.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 187–209, 2020, doi: 10.18505/cuid.684569.
- [48] E. Fraser and K. I. Pakenham, "Resilience in children of parents with mental illness: Relations between mental health literacy, social connectedness and coping, and both adjustment and caregiving," *Psychol. Heal. Med.*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 573–584, 2009, doi: 10.1080/13548500903193820.
- [49] J. Burgess, "Enhancing quality of life: Teaching children who display challenging behaviors to succeed," in *Quality of Life*

- and Intellectual Disability: Knowledge Application to Other Social and Educational Challenges*, 2014, pp. 55–70. [Online]. Available: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84951849127&partnerID=40&md5=e3ed81f68f753a4f39ffb6320eefa406>
- [50] S. Goldstein and R. B. Brooks, *Handbook of resilience in children: Second edition*. 2013. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-3661-4.
- [51] H. Jacob, C. Brück, M. Domin, M. Lotze, and D. Wildgruber, “I can’t keep your face and voice out of my head: Neural correlates of an attentional bias toward nonverbal emotional cues,” *Cereb. Cortex*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1460–1473, 2014, doi:10.1093/cercor/bhs417.
- [52] E. P. Bucy, “Nonverbal Cues,” in *The International Encyclopedia of Media Effects*, 2017, pp. 1–11. doi: 10.1002/9781118783764.wbieme0199.
- [53] U. Hess, “Nonverbal communication,” in *Encyclopedia of Mental Health, Third Edition: Volume 1-3*, vol. 2, 2023, pp. V2-647. doi:10.1016/B978-0-323-91497-0.00133-8.
- [54] S. Van Petegem, M. Zimmer-Gembeck, S. Baudat, B. Soenens, M. Vansteenkiste, and G. Zimmermann, “Adolescents’ responses to parental regulation: The role of communication style and self-determination,” *J. Appl. Dev. Psychol.*, vol. 65, 2019, doi:10.1016/j.appdev.2019.101073.
- [55] M. C. Haverfield and J. A. Theiss, “Emotion regulation and resilience in parent–adolescent interactions among families of harmful versus non-harmful parental alcohol use,” *J. Appl. Commun. Res.*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 26–48, 2020, doi:10.1080/00909882.2019.1704827.
- [56] M. C. Haverfield and J. A. Theiss, “Comparing Enacted and Perceived Parental Communication as Predictors of Adolescents’ Emotion Regulation in Families with Harmful versus Non-Harmful Parental Alcohol Use,” *Alcohol. Treat. Q.*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 114–135, 2023, doi: 10.1080/07347324.2022.2112001.